

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,299	MCCLURE, BRENT A.
	Examiner Lee, Calvin	Art Unit 2818

All Participants:

Status of Application: After-Final

(1) Calvin Lee.

(3) _____.

(2) Megan S. Woodworth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005

Time: 17:38

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11 and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

2003/0089929 to Rhodes

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Claim 11, line 10, after "charge collection region" insert --to form a doped area in said charge collection region--

Claim 11, line 11, after "within said slot" insert --, the contact being in electrical connection to said charge collection region--

Claim 39, line 8, after "floating diffusion region" insert --to form a doped area in said floating diffusion region--

Claim 39, line 9, after "floating diffusion region" insert --, the contact being in electrical connection to said floating diffusion region--.